

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/198,004 11/23/98 CARVER Ε 116310.014 **EXAMINER** IM22/1105 MARK D GIARRATANA ALEXANDER, L CUMMINGS AND LOCKWOOD GRANITE SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 700 STATE STREET P 0 B0X 1960 1743 NEW HAVEN CT 06509-1960 DATE MAILED: 11/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/198,004

Applicant(s)

Carver et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Lyle A. Alexander

Group Art Unit 1743

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 13, 1999	·
☑ This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C 	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to example a set to example	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	leview, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	ne priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)
\square received in this national stage application from the Int	ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES

_ Application/Control Number: 09/198,004

Art Unit: 1743

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims/1-6, 40 and 42-44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 5,840,254. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to a similar apparatus encompassing a means for pumping a fluid stream, means for introducing at least one reagent mixture to the stream and means for analysis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hansen et al., Cruzan or Parrent et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/198,004

Art Unit: 1743

ť

The cited prior art all teach methods and apparatus encompassing the claimed means for pumping a fluid stream, means for introducing at least one reagent mixture to the stream and means for analysis of the stream.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 8/13/99 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state Cruzan teaches a flow rate ratio fixed by relative volumes of the two conduits rather than an adjustment of a flow ratio as presently claimed. Cruzan teaches in column 1 lines 62-66 an oscillator means for imparting flow oscillation to at least a portion of the fluid passing therethrough. The Office reads this as the claimed means for adjusting the flow rate because not all of the fluid is allowed to pass.

Applicants state both Hansen et al. and Parrent et al. teach blocks for receiving hypodermic needles which cannot be read on the claimed means for adjusting the flow rate. The Office maintains the flow rate of the different sized syringes will be different and has been properly read on the instant claims.

Claims 42-44 have been indicated as free of Hansen et al., Cruzan and Parrent et al. The 8/13/99 IDS does not comply with 37 CFR 1.97 and has not been considered.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/198,004

Art Unit: 1743

ı

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is (703) 308-3893.

LAA

November 4, 1999

LYLE A. ALEXANDER PRIMARY EXAMINER